

253. A *Norrish* Type I Cleavage in the Photolysis of a Steroidal α,β -Unsaturated δ -Lactone¹⁾

Photochemical Reactions. XIV [1]

by Antonio Cánovas and Juan-Julio Bonet

Department of Organic Chemistry, Instituto Químico de Sarriá, Barcelona-17, Spain

(15.IX.80)

Summary

The UV. irradiation of 17 β -acetoxy-4-oxa-5 α -androst-1-en-3-one (**1**) yields A,B-*diseco*-steroids originating from a *Norrish* I process of the lactone function.



Introduction. – The photochemical behaviour of α,β -unsaturated δ -lactones has been mainly studied in coumarins [2]. In the monocyclic series, *Matsuo et al.* have reported addition of the solvent in the irradiation of 5,6-dihydro-6-methyl-2H-pyran-2-one [3]. Recently, we have been able to show that the 2-oxa- Δ^4 and 4-oxa- $\Delta^{1,5}$ steroidal lactones undergo, respectively, the type A [4] and di- π -methane [5] photorearrangements in a similar way to their carbocyclic counterparts. In this paper we describe the results obtained in the irradiation of the Δ^1 -lactone **1**.

Photolysis of 1. – The UV. irradiation ($\lambda = 254$ nm) of **1** [6] in methanol yielded a mixture of the aldehydoester **2** (50%), its acetal **3** (6%) and starting material **1** (13%), together with impurities of higher polarity originating from the decomposition of **2** (24%) (*Scheme 1*). When the irradiation was carried out in *t*-butyl alcohol, only the aldehydoester **4** (34%) was isolated, together with starting material **1** (10%) and mixtures of unidentified products of high polarity (40%).

Treatment of the aldehyde **2** with *p*-toluenesulfonic acid in methanol yielded **3**. As an independent synthesis of **3**, the *seco*-lactam **5** [1] was hydrolyzed to the aldehydoacid **6** (not isolated owing to its instability) and then treated, successively, with *p*-toluenesulfonic acid in methanol and acetic anhydride in pyridine, to yield a compound which was identified as the photoproduct **3**. Although unknown, the geometry of the double bond ought to be the same in **2**, **3** and **5**.

Discussion. – The formation of **2** from **1** can be rationalized assuming that α -cleavage occurs to produce the primary diradical **A** (*Scheme 2*), which then rearranges to the ketene **B**. Solvent addition would yield **2**. This cleavage is a typical

¹⁾ Part of the doctoral thesis of A. Cánovas, I.Q.S., Barcelona, 1980.



process in the photolysis of enol lactones [7]. In the present case, the further breaking of the C(10)–C(5) bond could be attributed to the presence of the Δ^1 double bond in A.

The acetal 3 is formed in a thermal reaction catalyzed by traces of formic acid produced by the irradiation of methanol in the presence of O_2 or air [8]. Thus when the irradiation was carried out in methanol containing Na_2CO_3 or with short irradiation time (low conversion of 1), no acetal was detected. Furthermore, when 2 was added in the dark to methanol previously irradiated with UV. light, the formation of the acetal 3 could be detected after 24 h (TLC.). Also, in the irradiation in *t*-butyl alcohol, which does not generate acid in these conditions, no acetal derivative of 4 has been detected.

Transformation $1 \rightarrow 2/4$, constitutes a novel type of reaction of ring A steroidal lactones, which causes considerable modification of its skeleton.

We are grateful to Dr. J. Camps (Instituto de Química Bio-Orgánica de Cataluña, C.S.I.C., Barcelona) for elemental analysis service and to Dr. H.R. Wolf (Organisch-Chemisches Laboratorium ETHZ, Zürich) for a critical reading of the manuscript.

Financial support by the *Fondo Nacional para el Desarrollo de la Investigación Científica* (Proyecto 2.436/76) is gratefully acknowledged. One of us (A.C.) thanks the *Plan de Formación de Personal Investigador del Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia*, Madrid, for a doctoral fellowship.

Experimental Part

General remarks: [5].

Photolysis of 1. – a) *In methanol.* A solution of 800 mg of **1** in 160 ml of dry MeOH, was irradiated during 72 h with a low-pressure Hg-lamp. Solvent evaporation *in vacuo* yielded 867 mg of a mixture. Chromatography in cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 11:4, furnished, first, 62 mg of methyl 17 β -acetoxy-5,5-dimethoxy-*A*-nor-3,5-seco-5,10-seco-1(10)-androstene-3-oate (**3**), as an oil. – UV.: end absorption. – IR. (film): 1735, 1655, 1245, 1050. – ¹H-NMR.: 0.70 (s, H₃C(18)); 1.55 (br. s, H₃C(19)); 2.00 (s, AcO); 3.00 (d, *J* = 8, H₂C(2)); 3.23 (s, 2 CH₃O); 3.65 (s, COOCH₃); 4.20 (m, H–C(5)); 4.60 (m, H–C(17)); 5.30 (t, *J* = 8, H–C(1)). – MS.: 410 (*M*⁺).

C₂₃H₃₈O₆ (410.56) Calc. C 67.29 H 9.33% Found C 67.19 H 9.36%

The second fraction consisted of 329 mg of methyl 17 β -acetoxy-5-oxo-*A*-nor-3,5-seco-5,10-seco-1(10)-androstene-3-oate (**2**), as an oil. – UV.: end absorption. – IR. (film): 2700, 1730, 1650. – ¹H-NMR.: 0.68 (s, H₃C(18)); 1.50 (br. s, H₃C(19)); 1.95 (s, AcO); 2.97 (d, *J* = 8, H₂C(2)); 3.60 (s, COOCH₃); 4.50 (m, H–C(17)); 5.30 (t, *J* = 8, H–C(1)); 9.60 (t, *J* = 1, HCO). – MS.: 364 (*M*⁺). Its instability on purification prevented its elemental analysis.

The third fraction contained 230 mg of a mixture of **2** and starting material **1**, which was further chromatographed with cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 11:4 to obtain 115 mg of **2** (mixed m.p., TLC., and IR.) and 102 mg of **1** (mixed m.p., TLC., and IR.). The fourth fraction, 215 mg of a mixture of polar components, was not further investigated.

b) *In *t*-butyl alcohol.* A solution of 305 mg of **1** in 160 ml of *t*-butyl alcohol (Merck, analytical purity) was irradiated during 68 h, with a low-pressure Hg-lamp. Solvent evaporation *in vacuo* yielded 339 mg of a mixture of several components. Chromatography in cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 11:4 furnished, first, 93 mg of *t*-butyl 17 β -acetoxy-5-oxo-*A*-nor-3,5-seco-5,10-seco-1(10)-androstene-3-oate (**4**), as an oil. – UV.: end absorption. – IR. (film): 2700, 1735, 1245. – ¹H-NMR.: 0.70 (s, H₃C(18)); 1.35 (s, COOC(CH₃)₃); 1.50 (br. s, H₃C(19)); 1.95 (s, AcO); 2.90 (d, *J* = 8, H₂C(2)); 4.55 (m, H–C(17)); 5.30 (t, *J* = 8, H–C(1)); 9.60 (t, *J* = 1, HCO). – MS.: 349 (*M*⁺ – 59). Its instability on purification prevented its elemental analysis.

The second fraction consisted of 60 mg of a mixture of **4** and starting material **1**, which was further chromatographed with cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 11:4, to yield 25 mg of **4** and 30 mg of **1**. The third fraction contained 158 mg of a mixture of polar compounds, that was not further investigated.

Synthesis of 3. – a) *From 2.* To 15 mg of **2** in 5 ml MeOH, a crystal of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid was added. The solution was left during 4 h at RT. After partial solvent evaporation *in vacuo*, the usual work-up, washing with aq. NaHCO₃-solution, yielded 17 mg of **3** pure (mixed TLC. and IR. spectrum).

b) *From 5.* To a solution of 20 mg of **5** in 5 ml MeOH, 5 ml of aq. 4*N* NaOH were added. The mixture was refluxed for 6 h. MeOH evaporation *in vacuo* and the usual work-up, after acidification, yielded **6**. The crude, in 5 ml MeOH and a crystal of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, was left 26 h at RT. MeOH evaporation *in vacuo* and the usual work-up, washing with aq. NaHCO₃-solution, afforded an oily compound. Acetylation of this oil with 3 ml Ac₂O/pyridine 1:1 yielded 23 mg of a mixture containing one major component with impurities. Filtration through silica gel Merck ('reinst'), in cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 11:4 gave 17 mg of **3** pure (mixed TLC., IR. and MS. spectra) and 6 mg of a mixture of higher polarity compounds, not further investigated.

REFERENCES

- [1] Part XIII: A. Cánovas, J. Fonrodona, J.-J. Bonet, M. C. Briansó & J. L. Briansó, *Helv.* preceding paper.
- [2] 'Photochemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds', Ed. by O. Buchardt, John Wiley & Sons, 1976.
- [3] K. Ohga & T. Matsuo, *J. Org. Chem.* 39, 106 (1974).
- [4] V. Ferrer, J. Gómez & J.-J. Bonet, *Helv.* 60, 1357 (1977).
- [5] J. A. Vallet, J. Boix, J.-J. Bonet, M. C. Briansó, C. Miravittles & J. L. Briansó, *Helv.* 61, 1158 (1978).
- [6] B. Berkov, L. Cuéllar, R. Grezemkovsky, N. V. Avila, J. A. Edwards & A. D. Cross, *Tetrahedron* 24, 2851 (1968).
- [7] A. Yogev, M. Gorodetsky & Y. Mazur, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 86, 5208 (1964); A. Yogev & Y. Mazur, *ibid.* 87, 3520 (1965).
- [8] G. Roussi & R. Beugelmans, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1972, 1333.